



# It's a Trap!: Use of Trap Questions and Data Quality

### O. Mina Muller, Randall K. Thomas, Frances M. Barlas, & Megan A. Hendrich Ipsos Public Affairs

2022 FCSM Research & Policy Conference October 25th – October 27th, 2022 Washington D.C.

#### **Abstract**



Researchers often have concerns over data quality due to inattentive or unmotivated respondents. Various measures have been developed to assess whether respondents are providing accurate responses. Besides speeding and response non-differentiation, researchers sometimes imbed trap questions that can be used to detect when someone is not paying attention. A compliance trap directs a respondent to select a particular response (e.g., "Select 'Somewhat agree' for this item") regardless of the question. We studied whether cleaning out respondents who fail such traps would improve data quality. In a study with over 3,500 completes from an online probability-based panel, respondents were randomly assigned to experience two compliance traps or not. We examined respondent reactions following their presentation and we also looked at any reduction in bias using 10 demographic items for which we had benchmark values. We also looked at how trap failure was related to speed to complete (another indicator of sub-optimal behavior). For both trap conditions, we found that higher trap failure had a modest association with faster completion times. We found that there was no difference in average bias between the full samples versus the samples that had eliminated participants due to trap failures. It appears that trap failures are not as closely related to data quality as many have believed.

#### Introduction



- Concerns over data quality due to unmotivated or inattentive respondents.
- Optimal responding vs. sub-optimal responding.
- Several methods to identify suboptimal responses that might impact data quality:
  - Speeding
  - Item nonresponse
  - Grid non-differentiation or straightlining
  - Consistency checks
  - Compliance trap questions, attention checks, red-herrings
- One form of sub- optimal response may not be strongly predictive of other forms of sub optimal response simultaneously and may be influenced by very different causes (Thomas, 2011).

#### **Study Design**

- Our study uses an experimental design to assess the relationship between data quality and use of trap questions.
- We tested different types of trap questions as well as respondents' reactions to trap questions.
- Furthermore, we also tested whether order of response options or asking respondents to select a negative or positive response made a difference.





#### **Study Design**



- We conducted a study between January 14 and February 11, 2022, utilizing the KnowledgePanel, one of the largest online probability-based panels in the U.S.
- Mode: Web-based
- Sample Type: General Population
- n\_= 3,539 completes.

#### Experimental Study: 3x2x2 Design



### **Study Design-Continued**



**REACTIONS TO TRAPS** 

- Overall reaction (rated: Positive, Neutral, Negative).
- Confusion about the purpose of the question.

CONSISTENCY CHECK

 Asked two similar questions to check the correlation between consistency and trap failure.

#### **BENCHMARK COMPARISON**

• We removed the sub-optimal responses and looked at their impact to determine the extent of bias in the sample.

### **Trap Conditions**



#### Straightforward

- 1. To show that you are still reading these questions, please select the [randomly assigned: "Disagree" or "Agree"] response below.
- TRAP 1

- Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
- 2. This is an open item to confirm correct response presentation – please select the ["Sometimes true" or "Very often true"]

TRAP 2

response below. Not at all true Sometimes true Often true Very often true Always true

#### Disguised

- 1. Political issues are important to many people. To show that you are still reading these questions, please select the [randomly assigned: "Disagree" or "Agree"] response below.
  - Strongly disagree Disagree Neither agree nor disagree Agree Strongly agree
- 2. People's experiences in the U.S. vary. This is an open item to confirm correct response presentation – please select the ["Sometimes true" or "Very often true"] response below. Not at all true
  - Sometimes true Often true Very often true Always true

#### **Assessment by Trap Type**



More people failed the first trap compared to the second trap.
More people failed the disguised trap compared to the straightforward trap.

Traps	Straightforward	Disguised
Failed First	7%	13%
Failed Second	3%	8%
Failed at least		
one	5%	9%
Failed both	2%	6%

**Trap Type and Positive vs. Negative Response Experiment** 



# POSITIVITY 1 Agree/Disagree or 2 Sometimes true/Very often true



#### **Reactions to Trap Questions – Trap 1**





### **Reactions to Trap Questions – Trap 2**



Second Trap Question [People's experiences in WHAT WAS YOUR REACTION TO WERE YOU AT ALL CONFUSED the U.S. vary.] This is an **THE QUESTION? ABOUT THE PURPOSE OF THIS** open item to confirm ■ Failed ■ Passed **QUESTION?** correct response 7% presentation – please Failed Passed 75% 61% select the "Sometimes true"/ "Very often true" 44% 44% response below. Not at all true 24% Sometimes true 19% 5% 10%Often true 7% T 7% 5% Very often true Always true NEGATIVE NEUTRAL POSITIVE NOT CONFUSED VERY SOMEWHAT

### Is speeding correlated with failure?





### **Consistency Check and Trap Failure**



#### Consistency Check 1

All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life overall?

- A. Very dissatisfied
- B. Somewhat dissatisfied
- C. Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
- D. Somewhat satisfied
- E. Very satisfied

#### **Consistency Check 2**

How would you rate your overall life satisfaction?

- A. Very poor B. Poor C. Fair D. Good
- E. Very Good

Only 10% not consistent

(> 0.05)	Failed Trap	Passed Trap
Not consistent	10.3%	11.6%
Consistent	89.7%	88.4%



#### Failure by Demographics- Age, Gender, Race/Ethnicity

### Failure by Demographics- Education, Income



Among those who failed...



#### **Benchmark Comparison**



Research Question:

# If we remove sub optimal respondents, how much bias we are introducing?

- We have 4 groups of sub-optimal respondents:
- Those who failed 2 compliance traps only
- $\circ~$  Those who failed 1 or 2 compliance traps
- $\circ~$  Those who failed the consistency trap only
- **o** Those who either failed at least 1 compliance trap or failed consistency trap
- Removed them from the dataset one group at a time and reweighted the data.

### **Benchmark Comparison**



- We asked a number of questions that had national benchmark values:
  - Right Direction
  - $\circ~$  Excellent or very good health
  - $\circ$  Married
  - o Citizen
  - $\circ~$  2 or more people living in the household
  - $\circ~$  At least 1 child under 18 in HH
  - $\circ~$  Own home
  - $\circ~$  3 bedrooms or more in House
  - $\circ~$  Moved in house more than 5 years ago
  - $\circ~$  2 or more vehicles one ton or less
  - $\circ~$  Has landline phone
  - $\circ~$  Speaks other than English at home

### **Benchmark Comparison - Average Absolute Deviation**



To assess bias, we calculated the average absolute deviation:

- Reweighted the data after removing each sub optimal group.
- Calculated the difference between the benchmark and weighted benchmark distributions for each subset.
- Took the absolute value for each difference.
- Averaged the total absolute difference for each of the 12 benchmarks to obtain the average absolute deviation against the national benchmarks.

#### **Benchmark Comparison - Results**



								Passed both
							Passed	consistency
	National	ALL	No Trap	Failed	Passed at	Passed	consistency	and
Benchmark Questions	Benchmark	Weighted	Presented	both trap	least 1 trap	both traps	check	compliance
Right Direction	29.2%	0.3%	2.6%	0.9%	1.5%	2.0%	0.0%	0.59
Excellent or very good health	57.4%	14.0%	13.0%	14.5%	14.7%	14.4%	11.0%	11.49
Married	54.7%	4.2%	6.1%	3.3%	3.3%	2.9%	3.7%	2.89
Citizen	92.0%	3.5%	3.8%	3.4%	3.4%	3.9%	3.3%	4.09
2 or more in HH	85.6%	0.9%	0.6%	1.1%	0.7%	0.6%	0.9%	0.89
At least 1 child under 18 in								
НН	33.2%	0.6%	3.5%	2.5%	2.7%	2.8%	2.5%	3.59
Own home	70.1%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.7%	3.8%	4.0%	4.89
3 bedrooms or more in HH	69.6%	3.3%	4.9%	2.5%	2.7%	2.9%	3.3%	3.69
Moved in house more than 5								
years ago	60.8%	5.2%	6.2%	4.8%	4.6%	5.1%	5.3%	5.59
2 or more vehicles one ton or								
ess	70.1%	1.4%	1.8%	1.2%	1.6%	1.8%	1.9%	2.19
Has landline phone	37.3%	2.0%	2.3%	1.8%	1.3%	1.2%	1.7%	0.69
Speaks other than English at								
home	21.9%	1.5%	1.8%	1.4%	1.9%	2.5%	1.6%	2.89
Average Deviation		3.4%	4.2%	3.4%	3.5%	3.7%	3.3%	3.5%
2022 lpsos		J.7/0	7.2/0	3.7/0	<b>J.J</b> /0	<b>J.</b> 7/0	3.370	5.570



### Takeaways

#### **Conclusions and Discussion**



- Disguised trap question caught more sub optimal respondents.
- Failing trap may not always indicate sub optimal response.
- Sub optimal identifications may not be correlated.
- Removing suboptimal responses did not impact the data quality.
- We will repeat this research with a longer questionnaire.

## **Thank you!** Mina Muller Mina.muller@ipsos.com

Mina Muller, Research Director U.S., Ipsos Public Affairs Pronouns: she/her 2020 K Street NW, Suite 410 Washington, DC 20006 Mobile: +1 240 921 4268 <u>mina.muller@ipsos.com</u> www.ipsos.com



GAME CHANGERS