### Bayesian Nonparametric Multivariate Spatial Mixture Mixed Effects Models

Scott H. Holan<sup>1,2</sup>, Ryan Janicki<sup>2</sup>, Andrew M. Raim<sup>2</sup>, and Jerry Maples<sup>2</sup>

Department of Statistics University of Missouri<sup>1</sup> and U.S. Census Bureau<sup>2</sup>

#### October 27, 2022

This report is released to inform interested parties of ongoing research and to encourage discussion of work in progress. The views expressed on statistical issues are those of the authors and not those of the U.S. Census Bureau. The DRB approval number for this project is CBDRB-FY19-490.

- Overview of the project goals, description of the datasets, and discussion of difficulties with the data
- Introduction of the multivariate spatial model
  - Summary of model-based predictions of counts within counties and comparison to direct estimates
  - Discussion of how the model can fail
- Extension of the multivariate spatial model to a mixture of multivariate spatial models

Conclusion

- Nationwide survey collecting information about, for example, race, citizenship, employment, and other demographic and housing unit characteristics
- 3.5 million households sampled each year
- Billions of estimates produced
- ullet 1-year estimates available for areas with a total population of 65,000+
- 5-year estimates available at geographies down to the block group

#### Examples of Requested ACS Special Tabulations

- Household income to poverty ratio
- Proportion of the population in poverty
- Total population by age, race/ethnicity, sex
- Counts of housing units by Tenure by Household Size by Age of Householder by Household Income

These tables are needed at different geographic levels, such as by State, County, Tract, or American Indian and Alaska Native (AIAN) area.

- Dataset 1 (AGE): Counts of children by age (0–1, 2–3, and 4–5) in counties in Minnesota
- Dataset 2 (AGE x RACE): Counts of children by age (0–1, 2–3, and 4–5) by Race (White Alone, Black Alone, Asian Alone, Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander Alone, American Indian and Alaska Native Alone, Other Alone, or two or more races) in counties in Minnesota

- Small or zero sample in some counties for some cells
- Skewed or multimodal distributions of direct estimates
- A large number of cells with direct estimates of zero
- Some sampling variances are either zero or undefined
- Multivariate dependence between the observations within county and multivariate spatial dependence between counties
- Limited predictor variables available

Data



Histogram of the log of the ACS 5 year estimates of total children ages 4 – 5 in all counties in the U.S.



#### **Direct Variance Estimates**



- $Z_i^*$  is the direct estimate for the *i*th observation. For ease of presentation, *i* indexes the counties and demographic variables.
- $Z_i = \log(Z_i^* + 1)$
- x<sub>i</sub><sup>T</sup> a vector of predictors, which includes the log of the county population size, and dummy variables corresponding to the demographic cross classifications
- $D_i = Var(Z_i)$  are the estimated (design-based) sampling variances

#### Multivariate Spatial Model

Cressie and Wikle (2011); Bradley et al. (2015):

• Data Model:

$$egin{aligned} Z_i &= Y_i + arepsilon_i \ arepsilon_i &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, D_i) \end{aligned}$$

• Process Model:

$$egin{aligned} Y_i &= oldsymbol{x}_i^Toldsymbol{eta} + oldsymbol{s}_i^Toldsymbol{\eta} \ oldsymbol{\eta} &\sim oldsymbol{N}_r\left(0,\sigma^2oldsymbol{K}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

• Goal: Prediction of 
$$Y_i^* = e^{Y_i} - 1$$

#### Remark About the Choice of Process Model

A popular choice of process model used in the spatial literature is

$$Y_i = \boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{s}_i^T \boldsymbol{\eta} + \xi_i,$$

where

- $\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$  captures trends in population and demographic cross classifications, as well as multivariate spatial dependencies
- $\mathbf{s}_i^T \boldsymbol{\eta}$  accounts for residual multivariate spatial dependence (random effects)
- $\xi_i$  represents fine-scale variability

Note that including  $\xi_i$  resulted in overfitting to our datasets

The matrix S, with rows consisting of the vectors  $s_i^T$ , are constructed via Moran's I basis expansion (Hughes and Haran, 2013):

• Let 
$$P_{X} = I - X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T}$$

- Let **A** be the adjacency matrix of observations
- The columns of S are the first r eigenvectors of  $P_X A P_X$
- We used 50% of the available basis functions: eigenvectors corresponding to the largest positive eigenvalues

- The matrix **K** is constructed to
  - induce multivariate dependencies
  - induce spatial dependencies using a conditional autoregressive (CAR) structure
  - be reduced rank compared to a CAR process
- Random effects may be shared across observations, inducing multivariate dependencies

Let  $\boldsymbol{u}^{T} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$  be an intrinsic conditional autoregressive (ICAR) process, with precision matrix  $\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\boldsymbol{Q}$ , so that

$$u_i|u_j, j \neq i, \sigma^2 \sim N\left(\sum_{j \sim i} \frac{j}{n_i}, \frac{\sigma^2}{n_i}\right),$$

where  $n_i$  is the number of neighbors of area *i*. Then

$$\boldsymbol{K} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{C}} \left\| \boldsymbol{Q} - \boldsymbol{S}\boldsymbol{C}^{-1}\boldsymbol{S}^{\boldsymbol{T}} \right\|_{\boldsymbol{F}},$$

where the minimization is over the space of  $r \times r$  positive definite matrices.

Estimation of the number of children by age in counties in Minnesota using the multivariate spatial model Prediction of the Number of Children, Ages 0 - 1, 2 - 3, 4 - 5, in Counties

- Our target is predictions of counts in counties in Minnesota.
- We fit the model to counties in Minnesota and adjacent states (Iowa, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin)
- We wrote code in R and STAN (Carpenter et al., 2017) to fit the multivariate spatial mixture model to each dataset

### Comparison of Direct Estimates and Predicted Values



Figure: (a) Direct estimates of the number of children, ages 0–1. (b) Model-based predictions of the number of children, ages 0–1.

## Comparison of the Standard Errors of Direct Estimates and Standard Errors of Predicted Values



Figure: (a) Standard errors of direct estimates of the number of children, ages 0–1. (b) Posterior standard error model-based predictions of the number of children, ages 0–1.



Comparison of coefficients of variation

Table: Percent reduction in coefficients of variation

Estimation of the number of children by age and race in counties in Minnesota using the multivariate spatial model

#### Example of Varying Spatial Patterns in the Data

Direct estimates of the number of White children, ages 2 – 3, in counties in Minnesota



Direct estimates of the number of American Indian or Alaska Native children, ages 2 – 3, in counties in Minnesota



- Great results fitting the multivariate spatial model to a wide variety of ACS special tabulations
- Can have poor results when fitting to more complicated datasets with more demographic cross classifications
- Issues:
  - Varying spatial patterns for different Race/Ethnicity groups.
  - The spatial model can be too aggressive in smoothing the data
- Possible solutions:
  - Manually break up difficult datasets if we know the clustering structure, and fit separate models on each cluster of data
  - Use a model-based approach to simultaneously cluster the data, and predict counts

### Multivariate Spatial Model with Dirichlet Process Mixing

• Data Model:

$$Z_i = Y_i + \varepsilon_i$$
$$\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, D_i)$$

Process Model:

$$Y_{i} = \mathbf{x}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{\beta}_{i} + \mathbf{s}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}^{T} = \left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}^{T}, \boldsymbol{\eta}_{i}^{T}\right) \mid \boldsymbol{G} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \boldsymbol{G}$$
$$\boldsymbol{G} \sim DP\left(\alpha \boldsymbol{G}_{0}\right)$$

•  $\alpha$  is a concentration parameter and  $G_0$  the base measure

### Multivariate Spatial Model with Dirichlet Process Mixing Cont.

• Parameter Model:

$$egin{aligned} G_0 &= N_p(0,\sigma_eta^2 I_{p imes p}) N_r(0,\sigma_\eta^2 K) \ lpha &\sim Gamma(a_lpha,b_lpha) \ \sigma_eta^2 &\sim InvGamma(a_eta,b_eta) \ \sigma_\eta^2 &\sim InvGamma(a_\eta,b_\eta) \end{aligned}$$

• With this parameterization we have conjugacy. However, the Gibbs sampler can be slow to converge.

### Stick-breaking Representation of the Dirichlet Process Prior

Sethuraman (1994):

$$G(\cdot) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \pi_j \delta_{\theta_j}(\cdot)$$
$$\theta_j \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} G_0$$
$$V_i \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} Beta(1,\alpha)$$
$$\pi_1 = V_1$$
$$\pi_i = V_i \prod_{k \le i} (1 - V_k)$$

Implementation: Gibbs sampler in R/C++ (Neal, 2000)

### Multivariate Spatial Mixture Model – Finite-dimensional Approximation

We can truncate G to get a finite-dimensional approximation

• Data Model:

$$Z_i \sim \sum_{j=1}^{K} \pi_j f(z_i \mid Y_{ij}, D_i),$$

where  $f(\cdot | Y_{ij}, D_i)$  is the normal density with mean  $Y_{ij}$  and variance  $D_i$ .

• Process Model:

$$egin{aligned} Y_{ij} &= oldsymbol{x}_i^Toldsymbol{eta}_j + oldsymbol{s}_i^Toldsymbol{\eta}_j \ \eta_j &\sim oldsymbol{N}_{r}\left(0,\sigma_j^2oldsymbol{K}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

#### Multivariate Spatial Mixture Model Cont.

- $\pi_j$  are the probabilities of belonging to cluster j
- The number of clusters is not random
- If the truncation level is sufficiently large, many clusters will be empty
- Nearly identical results using a Dirichlet process prior or a stick breaking prior, as long as the truncation is sufficiently large.
- Faster convergence using the stick breaking prior.

Estimation of the number of children by age and race using the multivariate spatial mixture model

### Comparison of Direct Estimates and Predicted Values of the Number of White Children, Ages 2 - 3

Direct estimates of the number of White children, ages 2 – 3, in counties in Minnesota



Model-based predictions of the number of White children, ages 2 – 3, in counties in Minnesota



### Comparison of the Standard Errors of Direct Estimates and Standard Errors of Predicted Values





Standard errors of model-based predictions of the number of White children, ages 2 – 3, in counties in Minnesota



# Comparison of Direct Estimates and Predicted Values of the Number of American Indian and Alaska Native Children, Ages 2 - 3

Direct estimates of the number of American Indian or Alaska Native children, ages 2 – 3, in counties in Minnesota



Model–based predictions of the number of American Indian or Alaska Native children, ages 2 – 3, in counties in Minnesota



## Comparison of the Standard Errors of Direct Estimates and Standard Errors of Predicted Values

Standard errors of direct estimates of the number of American Indian or Alaska Native children, ages 2 – 3, in counties in Minnesota



Standard errors of model–based predictions of the number of American Indian or Alaska Native children, ages 2 – 3, in counties in Minnesota





Table: Percent reduction in coefficients of variation for counties with direct estimates with standard errors greater than 50

- We were able to produce model-based estimates with greater precision than the corresponding direct estimates, despite the lack of strong covariates
- A multivariate mixed effects spatial model can be used for a wide variety of ACS special tabulations
- For more complicated datasets with varying spatial and/or multivariate characteristics, we developed a multivariate spatial mixture model which provides greater flexibility
- The model is of independent interest and is applicable to a broad set of subject-matter scientific problems

### Thank you!

holans@missouri.edu

- Bradley, J. R., Holan, S. H., and Wikle, C. K. (2015). "Multivariate spatio-temporal models for high-dimensional areal data with application to Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics." Annals of Applied Statistics, 9, 4, 1761–1791.
- Carpenter, B., Gelman, A., Hoffman, M., Lee, D., Goodrich, B., Betancourt, M., Brubaker, M., Guo, J., Li, P., and Riddell, A. (2017). "Stan: A Probabilistic Programming Language." *Journal of Statistical Software, Articles*, 76, 1, 1–32.
- Cressie, N. and Wikle, C. K. (2011). *Statistics for Spatio-Temporal Data*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Hughes, J. and Haran, M. (2013). "Dimension reduction and alleviation of confounding for spatial generalized linear mixed models." *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, 75, 1, 139 – 159.
- Neal, R. M. (2000). "Markov chain sampling methods for Dirichlet process mixture models." Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 9, 2, 249 – 265.

Sethuraman, J. (1994). "A constructive definition of Dirichlet priors." *Statistica Sinica*, 4, 639 – 650.