

SPORTA



#### Analyzing Nonresponse in National Census of Ferry Operators

2022 FCSM Research & Policy Conference Session E-3: Modernizing Bias Reduction Techniques 2022-10-26

Young-Jun Kweon Bureau of Transportation Statistics

#### Disclaimer

This study was performed under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The U.S. Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

## Outline

U.S. Department of Transportation

- INTRODUCTION NCFO / NRB Study
- DATA

Questionnaire / Examples / Released Tables

• METHODS

Data Quality Metrics / Bias Estimation / Influential Variables

- RESULTS
- CONCLUSIONS

# INTRODUCTION



# **National Census of Ferry Operators**

U.S. Department of Transportation

INTRODUCTION

- The Safe, Accountable, Flexible Efficient, Transportation Equity Act—A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) of 2005 (P.L. 114-94) requires BTS to maintain a national ferry database.
- BTS conducts a biennial census of all ferry operators in the U.S. and its territories.
- Who should be included?
  - Ferry operators providing itinerant, fixed route, common carrier passenger/vehicle rollon, roll-off (RoRo) ferry service, and railroad car float operations
- Who should NOT be included?
  - Non-itinerant operations (e.g., cruise-to-nowhere services)
  - Excursion (e.g., whale watches, casino boats, dinner cruises, etc.)
  - Passenger only water taxi services not operating on a fixed route
  - LoLo (Lift-on/Lift-off) freight/auto carrier services
  - Long distance passenger only cruise ship services

## 2020 Nonresponse Bias Study

#### U.S. Department of Transportation

INTRODUCTION

#### • Motivations:

- ✓ Recommended by OMB Guidelines (2006)
- ✓ Improve data quality of NCFO
- ✓ Perform trend analysis of national total boarding counts

#### • Purposes:

- To improve data quality of NCFC
- To inform data users of potential bias in analyzing 2020 NCFO data

#### • 2020 NCFO

- 247 operators invited (frame)
- 164 operators participated





#### **2020 NCFO Questionnaire**

U.S. Department of Transportation

- (4) Segment Info, and (5) Funding Info. Ø 1. Please provide 16. Please list the individual route see 5. Please list and provide the vessel number an 12. Please list the name and segments are defined by the direct 22. Does this Operation Receive Public Funding Sources? Company 6. For each vessel in your fleet during ca Public Funding Sources are NOT Accepted 17. Individual route segments a may be made up of multiple 13. For each termina 7. For each vessel in your fleet du Ø 18. Individual route segn may be made up of n 14. For each 23. Please indicate whether your operation's boarding information or any other information you provided is business-sensitive 8. For each vessel in your information. (Please note: Information that you release to the public on a routine basis generally does not qualify as businesssensitive information). 19. For each route Boarding Information is NOT business-sensitive 9. For each vessel 20. For eac Ø are reg 10. For each Thank you for completing the 2020 NCFO! 11. F Please return this survey in the enclosed envelope or send to: NCFO Project Manager, US Department of Transportation 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, RTS-32, Room E32-316, Washington, D.C. 20590 Percentage FERRY@DOT.GOV If you have any questions please contact us at: 9 or 1-800-853-1351 10 YES O 23 of 23 10 YES O 10 20 of 23
- 5 Sections: (1) Operator Info, (2) Vessel Info, (3) Terminal Info, (4) Segment Info, and (5) Funding Info.

## **Ferry Operation: Example 1**

• An operator serves 2 segments connecting 2 terminals with 1 vessel



## **Ferry Operation: Example 2**

• An operator serves 9 segments connecting 4 terminals with 5 vessels



U.S. Department of Transportation

#### **2020 NCFO Data Release**

2 **U.S. Department** of Transportation

5 Ta	bles	(	Operator	Opera	tor-Segment	Segm	ent	Ter	minal	Vesse	el			
158 Variables		es	51		42	9			21	35				
A 1 OPERAT 2 3	COR_ID ((((11)))) 3 (11) (1 4 (((11)))	(F(FSTUW)Z### 1(**********************************	//////////////////////////////////////	A 1 OPERATO 2 3	B      CCEFCFI        R_ID      SEGMENT_ID      \$15511FFI        3      667      1        3      668      1	ر MOST_USED_VESSEL	K LID VESSE 756 387	LINCFCF L1_ID 385 387	SUNDNZ####### 	AJ AA ESSEL26_ID P A A				
4 5 6 7 8	5 10 10 8 (1) 10 (1) 13 (1) 14 (2) 11	erator		4	<sup>3</sup> 669 0 Operator-Se	gment	387 387 387 387 387 387 387	387 387 387 387 387 387		A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A				
10 11 12 13	10 (1 (1 17 (1) (1 18 (1) 20 (1) 1 SEGI 23 (1) 166	A B MENT_ID S SEG	C 5_TERMINAL1_ID 522	D EECH ERMINAL2 10 5500	3 1 1 A 3 1 1 TERMINA 3 1146	B C L_ID OPERATOR_ID	756 EEFCHIJKLNN 1111111111	385 CFCFSTL [1111(]		A VESSEL_ID O 385	B C PERATOR_ID V U 3 F	D E SCG_NUMBER   684486	FCF1JKLNYCFCF5 (((1)11))11111	TUWXYZ####### 19110199910100
14 15 16 17	24 (11 167 29 19 168 31 11 169 32 54 170	667 C 668 C 669 C	235 235 235 235	210 214 215	3 1147 3 1147 3 1148 3 1149 3 1149	225 87 226 3 227 3 228 87 231 87	EFU FFU FFU FFU	FTFC FTFC FSFS FSFS		3 386 4 387 5 756 6 1236	3 N 3 N 3 A 3 V	920742 1023852 1164653 1246995		
18 19 20	34 111171 35 111172 40 (\$173	670 C 671 C 672 C 673 C	235 235 235 235	217 226 221 227	4 151 4 153 4 153 154	233 3 235 3 236 87 238 278	ETU ETU ETU Stu	FTFC FCFC FSFS FTFT	יוווווווווו ווווווווווווו וווווווווווו	7 14 8 59 9 60 10 138	4 A 4 C 4 C 4 C	965020 907138 1020240 1020244		
	175 176 177 178	678 N 680 E 681 F 682 (	Segment	431 513 99 444	155 156 157 158	239 87 240 87 Termina		FSFS FSFS FNFN FNFN		11      257        12      264        13      786        14      787	4 S 4 J 4 J	vesse		111NA 111NA 111NA 111NA
	179 180 181 182	683 C 684 N 685 N 686 F	167 228 231 236	176 236 236 240	159 160 161 162 163	245      221        249      222        250      222        252      130        256      153	ETU ETU ETU ETU ETU	FTFT FVFV FCFC FTFT		15 61 16 388 17 135 18 802	5 C 8 N 10 R 10 C	929116 225736 683083 1069833		1111 1411 111NA 111NA
	183 184	687 F 688 F	117 548	118 554	164 165	258 91 258 130	ETU ETU	FTFF	- 1	19 1489 20 1191	10 li 130 F	1297227 257720	II INA	IIIN IIINA

# **METHODS**



## **Unit Response**

U.S. Department of Transportation



## **Data Quality Metrics**

#### • 6 Data Quality Rates

- 2 Unit-Level Rates
  ① Unit Participation Rate (UPR)
  ② Unit Response Rate (URR)
- 4 Item-Level Rates
  - ③ Item Response Rate (IRR)
  - ④ Total Item Response Rate (TIRR)
  - ⑤ Overall Item Response Rate (OIRR) ♀
  - ⑥ Modified Quantity Response Rate (MQRR)
    - Proportion of observed total value of item x

Lineback and Thompson (2010). *Conducting Nonresponse Bias Analysis for Business Surveys*. JSM 2010.



METHODS

U.S. Department of Transportation

**METHODS** 

#### **Bias Estimation**

- Define Nonresponse Bias
  - In sample mean of survey (OMB, 2006)

$$Bias(\bar{x}_R) = \bar{x}_R - \bar{x}_S = \left(\frac{n_{NR}}{n_S}\right)(\bar{x}_R - \bar{x}_{NR})$$

• In sample **total** of **census** (2020 NCFO)  $Bias(\hat{T}_{x,R}) = \hat{T}_{x,R} - \hat{T}_{x,S}$  where  $\hat{T}_{x,S} = \hat{T}_{x,R} + \hat{T}_{x,NR}$ 

## **Bias Estimation**



#### • Calculate $\hat{T}_{\chi,NR}$ for Passenger Boarding

- Use growth ratio of 2018-2020: Growth Ratio(PASS) =  $\frac{\hat{T}_{PASS,R,2020}}{\hat{T}_{PASS,R,2018}}$
- (e.g.)  $\widehat{PASS}_{i,NR,2020} = Growth Ratio(PASS) \times PASS_{i,R,2018}$
- Growth ratio by Measure of Size (MOS)

	95% of units		1,403,641	
	70% of estimate		4,583,713	
		5% of units	4,845,905	
		Sum of estimate		

## **Influential Variables**

- Method: Conditional Tree Analysis
- Variables considered:
  - ① Accept Public Fund (Yes, No)
  - ② Serve National Park Service (Yes, No)
  - ③ Report on behalf of government (Yes, No)
  - ④ Segment Type (Interstate, Intrastate, International)
  - ⑤ Population (Based on American Community Survey's 2019 estimate)
  - <sup>(6)</sup> State (Based on operator's address)

U.S. Department of Transportation

METHODS



## RESULTS



## **2 Unit-Level Rates**

#### Unit Participation & Response Rates: UPR & URR •

Subgroup	Category	UPR	URR
All	None	<mark>66%</mark>	<mark>50%</mark>
			46%
			73%
			67%
			56%
			59%
			61%
			55%
			56%

Higher UPR & URR (Report On Behalf of Gov.) Higher UPR & URR (Ticket Revenue < 50%)

Higher UPR (6+ Segments)

Higher UPR (3+ Vessels)

of Transportation RESULTS

**U.S. Department** 

#### 4 Item-Level Rates 1



RESULTS

#### • Item Response Rate (IRR) and Total Item Response Rate (TIRR)

19 Key Response Variable (x)	Table	IRR,	TIRR	
Accept Public Funding		100.0%	50.0%	
Operator City	Operator	100.0%	50.0%	
Operator State		100.0%	50.0%	
Operator Name		100.0%	50.0%	
Average Trip Time		81.1%	40.5%	)
Most Used Vessel		81.1%	40.5%	
Passenger Boarding	Operator	81.1%	40.5%	
Segment Length	Sogmont	81.1%	40.5%	
Season End Date	Segment	78.7%	39.3%	
Season Start Date		78.7%	39.3%	
Vehicle Boarding		81.1%	40.5%	
Segment Name	Segment	81.1%	40.5%	J
Terminal 1 City		98.8%	49.4%	~
Terminal 2 City		89.0%	44.5%	
Terminal 1 State	Torminal	98.8%	49.4%	
Terminal 2 State	Terminal	89.0%	44.5%	
Terminal 1 Name		98.8%	49.4%	
Terminal 2 Name		89.0%	44.5%	
Vessel Name (of Most User Vessel)	Vessel	81.1%	40.5%	

Lower IRR & TIRR

#### 4 Item-Level Rates **2**

 Overall Item Response Rate (OIRR) & Modified Quantity Response Rate (MQRR)

Variable (x)	OIRR <sub>v</sub>	MQRR,	
Passenger Boarding	54.3%	77.2%	
	$\smile$	$\smile$	
Based on the	e number	Based or	$\mathbf{x}$ values in $x$
of respond	dents in x	responde	ents provided

U.S. Department of Transportation

RESULTS

### **Bias Estimate** (Passenger Boardings)

Growth Ratios by MOS Group

Estimated Bias in Total Boardings

			-39,861,661 -		

#### Contribution to Total Bias in 2020 NCFO



U.S. Department of Transportation

RESULTS

#### **Influential Variables**

RESULTS

- Nonresponse to All 19 Key Variables
  - Without State Variables



ullet

With State Variables

Not accept public fund Not serve National Park, Not report on behalf of government Serve intrastate segment In Florida Terminals in an area with population > ~300K

# CONCLUSIONS



## Conclusions

U.S. Department of Transportation

CONCLUSIONS

- Rates vary across subgroups (e.g., reporting on behalf of government, ticket revenue, and fleet size)
  - ✓ Data users should be cautious when focusing their analysis on a specific group
- Bias in total passenger boarding is affected largely by nonresponding big operators
  - ✓ Estimating national total boarding count is challenging
- Operators in few States with certain characteristics have lower responses.

#### Recommendations

U.S. Department of Transportation

CONCLUSIONS

# • **RECOMMENDATION:** Develop a monitoring application keeping track of response on each of the key items

- ✓ **Use**: The application identifies nonresponding ferry operators as a census rolls out.
- ✓ Implication: This recommendation would increase the unit response rate (URR).

# • **RECOMMENDATION:** Develop a list of ferry operators with a group indication based on boarding counts.

- ✓ Use: This list helps BTS identify which ferry operators would be critical in reducing bias in the national total boarding estimate.
- ✓ Implication: This recommendation would increase the unit response rate (URR) and the itemlevel rates on the 2 key items (passenger and vehicle boardings).

#### Acknowledgement

- Aubrey Nguyen, IT Auditor, U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) ORISE Fellow, USDOT (Formerly)
- Clara Reschovsky, NCFO Program Manager/Survey Statistician, USDOT





# Contact

Young-Jun Kweon

young-jun.kweon@dot.gov

NCFO

ferry@dot.gov





# **Questions?**

