Estimating Foreign-Born Emigration Using Longitudinal Tax and Social Security Data

Thomas B. Foster, Anthony Knapp, and Erik Vickstrom U.S. Census Bureau

October 26, 2022



Disclaimer: Any opinions and conclusions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not represent the views of the U.S. Census Bureau. The Census Bureau has reviewed this data product for unauthorized disclosure of confidential information and has approved the disclosure avoidance practices applied to this release. DRB Approval Numbers: CBDRB-FY2022-CES010-011 and CBDRB-FY2022-CES010-028.

Background

- Estimating emigration is challenging because of limited data.
- Previous Sources:
 - Immigration and Naturalization Service, 1908 to 1957.
 - Alien Address Report Program, 1952 to 1981.
- Contemporary Sources:
 - U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) Form I-94 Arrival/Departures.
 - Social Security Administration (SSA) files.



Background

- Lacking data directly detecting emigration, "residual" methods aim to estimate emigration indirectly.
- Warren and Peck (1980) developed a Census-Census residual method to derive foreign-born emigration from population change measured between two decennial censuses.
- Ahmed and Robinson (1994) and Mulder et al. (2003) updated the rates after each census.
- Leach and Jensen (2013) replaced census data with the American Community Survey (ACS).



Selected Foreign-Born Emigration Rates from Prior Research

Generally, 1 to 1.5 out of every 100 foreign born emigrate per year over the long run.





Source: Simulation of emigration rates using PEP methodology on 1-year ACS PUMS with adjustments. Note: Figure derived from comparative analyses from Schwabish (2011) and Leach and Jensen (2013).

4

Motivation

- Population Estimates Program (PEP) uses ACS data to estimate foreign-born emigration.
 - Estimates derived from ACS inputs are lagged, not year-specific, and can be unstable.
 - Coverage and non-coverage errors create uncertainty in the estimates.
- Ongoing work to leverage existing administrative data at the Census Bureau to evaluate ACS-based rates.
 - Better leverage the longitudinal nature of tax data, implementing and evaluating a "signs-of-life" approach.
 - Increase coverage of foreign-born non-filers with IRS 1099 or "information returns".



Data

- IRS 1040 federal tax returns and IRS 1099 "information returns" (W2s, 1098s, 1099s)
 - Tax Years 2009 through 2020
- Numident file (2022)
- Link these individual records using Protected Identification Keys (PIKs)
- Comparison data based on 2020 PEP methodology: ¹
 - Simulated emigration rates from ACS-ACS residual method
 - Characteristics come from ACS proxy universe method

Data Set	Variables	Universe
IRS 1040s	GeographyTax YearITIN Flag	• Filers in U.S. or abroad
IRS 1099s	GeographyTax Year	 Filers and Non-Filers in U.S.
Numident	 Date of Birth Date of Death Country of Birth Year Social Security Number (SSN) Issued Foreign-Born Flag 	 Individuals ever issued an SSN



Coverage Improvements & ITIN Issues

Coverage	Previously (1040s Only)	Currently (1040s and 1099s)
Best	Permanent immigrants, naturalized citizens, non-citizen workers, refugees	Permanent immigrants, naturalized citizens, non-citizen workers, refugees
Limited	Non-citizen students, non-citizen spouses and children	Non-citizen students, non-citizen spouses and children, <i>non-filers, inconsistent filers</i>
Worst	Undocumented/unauthorized migrations, asylum seekers	Undocumented/unauthorized migrations, asylum seekers
None	Non-filers, inconsistent filers , Non-SSNs (ITINs)	Non-SSNs (ITINs)

- While we do observe ITINs in the IRS 1040s and 1099s (15% of the resident foreign-born population, on average), unresolved issues stemming from PIK assignment remain.
 - Cannot distinguish an *ITIN* \rightarrow residual emigrant from an *ITIN* \rightarrow SSN non-emigrant.





- Stable confirmed emigrant component, but erratic residual.
- Residual component includes non-emigrants who simply do not file in t2 (retirees, low earners, etc.)
- Requires accurate estimation of population stock and immigration component in t2.



Current Methodology



Emigration Rates





Source: ACS-ACS unadjusted residual estimates; Linked IRS 1040/1099 (TYs 2009-2020) and Numident (2022). DRB Approval Numbers: CBDRB-FY2022-CES010-011 & CBDRB-FY2022-CES010-028.

Emigration Rates (with Previous Estimates)





Source: ACS-ACS unadjusted residual estimates; Linked IRS 1040/1099 (TYs 2009-2020) and Numident (2022). DRB Approval Numbers: CBDRB-FY2022-CES010-011 & CBDRB-FY2022-CES010-028.

Emigration Rates by Years of Residence in U.S.

- Most prior research finds that emigration rates decrease as years of residence in the U.S. increase:
 - Those residing in the U.S. for 10 years or fewer emigrate at rates between 1.3 and 4.4 percent.
 - More established foreign-born residents (11+ years) emigrate at rates between 0.2 and 1.4 percent.

Years of Residence in U.S.

0-10 Years	11+ Years	Total
4.4	-	1.2
2.3	-	1.3
0.3	1.4	0.9
1.4	0.4	-
1.3	0.2	0.6
	4.4 2.3 0.3 1.4	4.4 - 2.3 - 0.3 1.4 1.4 0.4

Note: Rates per 100 foreign-born individuals.



Emigration Rates by Years of Residence in U.S.



Foreign-Born Emigrants by Age: 2018-2019





Source: Internal PEP foreign-born emigrant file; Linked IRS 1040/1099 (TYs 2016-2019) and Numident (2022). DRB Approval Numbers: CBDRB-FY2022-CES010-011 & CBDRB-FY2022-CES010-028.

Foreign-Born Emigrants by Birthplace: 2018-2019





Source: Internal PEP foreign-born emigrant file; Linked IRS 1040/1099 (TYs 2016-2019) and Numident (2022). DRB Approval Numbers: CBDRB-FY2022-CES010-011 & CBDRB-FY2022-CES010-028.

Foreign-Born Emigrants by State: 2018-2019

ACS Proxy Universe
Confirmed IRS-SSA
Confirmed + Residual IRS-SSA



Source: Internal PEP foreign-born emigrant file; Linked IRS 1040/1099 (TYs 2016-2019) and Numident (2022). DRB Approval Numbers: CBDRB-FY2022-CES010-011 & CBDRB-FY2022-CES010-028.

Bureau

Discussion

- IRS and SSA data are not designed to measure emigration, but results show promise
- Improvements to previous method increase coverage and reduce false positives in the residual component
 - "Signs-of-Life" methods take advantage of the longitudinal nature of the data
 - Inclusion of IRS information returns capture non-filers and inconsistent filers
- However, clear signs of selection bias remain
 - IRS-SSA distribution of emigrants skews older than ACS residual estimates
 - IRS-SSA data undercount emigrants born in Mexico and leaving CA and TX
- And, declining emigration may reflect increasing coverage of tax data



Next Steps

- Explore methods to adjust for increasing coverage of the foreign-born population by tax data
- Potentially incorporate additional administrative data
 - Composite address or residential history files
 - Medicare beneficiary data
 - Kid link files
- Explore methods for incorporating the ITIN population into these estimates
 - Mexico-born population overrepresented among those in the tax data with ITINs?



Thank you!

Brad Foster Center for Economic Studies U.S. Census Bureau thomas.b.foster@census.gov

Anthony Knapp Population Division U.S. Census Bureau anthony.knapp@census.gov

Erik Vickstrom Center for Economic Studies U.S. Census Bureau erik.r.vickstrom@census.gov

